

Compatibility – Cesaro’s Integral

If a displacement field is to be well behaved (i.e. single valued) then the line integral around a closed curve of the form:

$$\oint du_i = 0. \quad (1)$$

This integral is Cesaro’s integral. Rewrite $du_i = u_{i,j}dx_j = (\varepsilon_{ij} + \omega_{ij})dx_j$ so that

$$\oint (\varepsilon_{ij} + \omega_{ij})dx_j = 0 \quad (2)$$

As an aside consider that

$$\oint \omega_{ij}dx_j = \oint [(x_l\omega_{il})_{,j} - x_l\omega_{il,j}]dx_j = - \oint x_l\omega_{il,j}dx_j \quad (3)$$

since the integral of the first term yields zero when integrated around a closed curve. As a second aside consider that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{il,j} &= \frac{1}{2}(u_{i,lj} - u_{l,ij}) + \frac{1}{2}(u_{j,il} - u_{j,il}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(u_{i,lj} + u_{j,il}) - \frac{1}{2}(u_{l,ij} + u_{j,il}) \\ &= \varepsilon_{ij,l} - \varepsilon_{lj,i} = (\delta_{ip}\delta_{lq} - \delta_{lp}\delta_{iq})\varepsilon_{pj,q} \\ &= e_{mil}e_{mpq}\varepsilon_{pj,q}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Returning these results to (2) gives

$$\oint du_i = \oint [\varepsilon_{ij} - x_l e_{mil} e_{mpq} \varepsilon_{pj,q}] dx_j. \quad (5)$$

Note now that $\oint \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \int \int_S \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{F} dS$ where S is the surface/area surrounded by the closed curve. Thus one may convert (5) to a surface/area integral

$$\begin{aligned} \oint du_i &= \int \int_S n_r e_{rsj} [\varepsilon_{ij} - x_l e_{mil} e_{mpq} \varepsilon_{pj,q}]_s dS \\ &= \int \int_S \underbrace{n_r e_{rsj}}_{(a)} \underbrace{[\varepsilon_{ij,s}]}_{(b)} - \underbrace{\delta_{ls} e_{mil} e_{mpq} \varepsilon_{pj,q}}_{(c)} - x_l e_{mil} e_{mpq} \varepsilon_{pj,qs} dS. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Term (c) can be split into two parts as

$$e_{mis}e_{mpq}\varepsilon_{pj,q} = (\delta_{ip}\delta_{sq} - \delta_{iq}\delta_{sp})\varepsilon_{pj,q} = \underbrace{\varepsilon_{ij,s}}_{(c1)} - \underbrace{\varepsilon_{sj,i}}_{(c2)}. \quad (7)$$

Note that term (c1) cancels with (b) and (a) operating on (c2) produces zero. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \oint du_i &= - \int \int_S n_r e_{rsj} x_l e_{mil} e_{mpq} \varepsilon_{pj,qs} dS \\ &= - \int \int_S n_r e_{mil} (e_{rsj} e_{mpq} \varepsilon_{pj,qs}) x_l dS. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The term in the parenthesis represents the curl of the curl of the small strain tensor. Thus a *sufficient condition* for a single values displacement field is that

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = 0 \rightarrow e_{rsj} e_{mpq} \varepsilon_{pj,qs} = 0. \quad (9)$$

With the result from lecture that showed that (9) was a necessary condition for single-valuedness, we have the final result that (9) is a necessary and sufficient condition for a single valued displacement field. In the presence of a multiply connected body (i.e. one with holes) there are several additional conditions that must hold. These can be found in many comprehensive books on elasticity.